



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| PPLICATION NO.        | FI        | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR         | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------|-----------|------------|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/613,483 07/03/2003 |           | 07/03/2003 | Alan Edward Palmer           | F7713(V)            | 5958            |
| 201                   | 7590      | 10/21/2004 |                              | EXAMINER            |                 |
| UNILEVE               |           |            | MCCORMICK EWOLDT, SUSAN BETH |                     |                 |
| PATENT D              |           | ENT        | 12222                        |                     |                 |
| 45 RIVER              | ROAD      |            | ART UNIT                     | PAPER NUMBER        |                 |
| EDGEWAT               | TER, NJ ( | 07020      | 1654                         |                     |                 |

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| *   |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Office Assistance   | 10/613,483  | PALMER ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt   | 1654   |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communicati  | on appears on the cover sheet with the  | ne correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SH<br>THE<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If the<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the departed for mailly statement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by tion.  In reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30), period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS in the statute, cause the application to become ABAND | the timely filed  days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)🖂   | Responsive to communication(s) filed or   | 17 November 2003.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> □   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)   | This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)[   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | ion of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□   | Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction  | ithdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)   | The specification is objected to by the Extended The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the other oath or declaration is objected to by   | ☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.  Correction is required if the drawing(s) is  | See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority u  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)[   | _   | uments have been received.  uments have been received in Applic e priority documents have been rece Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | eation No eived in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | a not of the continue deploy flot reco  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment  | t(s)  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) 🔯 Notice   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summa  | ary (PTO-413)  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔲 Inforn   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5<br>r No(s)/Mail Date   | 48) Paper No(s)/Mail  | Date  All Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/613,483

Art Unit: 1654

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maxwell et al. (US 6,063,432) and Cook et al. (US 4,451488) in light of Whole Foods website.

A nutritional bar comprising soy and/or rice protein in the form of nuggets containing a transitional metal, is claimed.

Maxwell *et al.* expressly teaches a health bar comprising soy protein with at least 25% wt. in the form of solids (column 2, lines 43-53), using a reducing sugar i.e. listed as mannitol that may be substituted (column 2, lines 65-67). Mannitol is also a polyol and acts as a humectant. It absorbs slower in the body and can be used for diabetic foods (see Whole Foods Market reference). In addition, Maxwell *et al.* includes minerals such as zinc, copper, manganese, chromium and iron (column 3, lines 14-15). As disclosed in the specification, encapsulated minerals refers to edible waxes, proteins (whey protein, vegetable proteins from soy i.e. isolated soy proteins), fibres and carbohydrates (sugar alcohols starches) (see page 12-13) which are inherent to the ingredients that Maxwell *et al.* disclose. Maxwell *et al.* does not specifically teach the soy protein being in nugget form as the whole mixture will be mixed to form a nutritional food bar or the use of glycerol as a humectant.

Cook *et al.* discloses the use of glycerol (which acts a humectant) in a food bar, within the 3% range wt. (see abstract, column 2 lines 40-42 and claims 1 and 6).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Maxwell et al. with Cook et al. because Maxwell et al. taught using a soy protein, a reducing sugar, including minerals to make a nutritious and healthy food bar and Cook et al. taught that the combination of Maxwell et al. with use of glycerol was advantageous. In addition, one of skill in the art would have made the nutrition mixture in nugget form for easier consumption.

It would clearly have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to use a composition made up soy protein, minerals and reduced sugars in an effective amount for a nutritional

Art Unit: 1654

health bar to a subject suffering from diabetes or obesity, based upon the beneficial teaching provided by the cited reference, as discussed above.

It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 220 F2d 454,456,105 USPQ 233; 235 (CCPA 1955). see MPEP § 2144.05 part II A.

Variations of components in nutritional compositions were well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicants' invention was made to determine all operable and optimal concentrations of components because concentration is an art-recognized result-effective variable which would have been routinely determined and optimized in the food industry art. Further, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have modified the proportions of active ingredients in the composition in order to enable the content of the preparation to be matched with demands and needs of the food industry. Such variations in amounts of nutritionally active ingredients are considered merely optimization of result effective variables, conventional practice in the art of food industry.

## Summary

No claim is allowed.

## Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0981. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The official fax number for the group is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sbme

PRIMARY EXAMINER
Satura Leith